	Case 2:00-cr-00367-RSL Do	cument 8	Filed 08/25/05	Page 1 of 4
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6		rea Diamp	ICT COLIDT	
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON			
8	AT SEATTLE			
9				
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)			
11	Plaintiff,)	CASE	NO.CR00-367L	
12	v.)			
13	FRANCISCO MIRELES)	DETENTION ORDER		
14	Defendant.)			
15)			
16	Offense charged:			
17	Count I: CONSPIRACY TO DISTRIBUTE METHAMPHETAMINE - Title 21,			
18	U.S.C., Sections 841(a)(1), 841(b)(1)(A), and 846			
19	Count II: ATTEMPTED POSSESSION OF METHAMPHETAMINE WITH			
20	INTENT TO DISTRIBUTE - Title 21, U.S.C., Sections 841(a)(1), 841(b)(1)(A),			
21	and 846 and Title 18, U.S.C., Section 2			
22	Date of Detention Hearing: August 22, 2005.			
23	The court, having conducted an uncontested detention hearing pursuant to 18			
24	U.S.C. § 3142(f), and based upon the factual findings and statement of reasons for detention			
25	hereafter set forth, finds that no condition or combination of conditions which the Defendant			
26	can meet will reasonably assure the appearance of the Defendant as required and the safety			
	DETENTION ORDER PAGE -1-			

of any other person and the community. The Defendant was represented by Howard Ratner. 1 The Government was represented by Kelly Neumeister. 2 3 FINDINGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION 4 5 (1) There is probable cause to believe the Defendant committed the offense in this 6 case. The maximum penalty for this offense is in excess of ten years. Therefore, there is a rebuttable presumption against the Defendant's release 7 based upon both dangerousness and flight risk, under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e). 8 (2) 9 The Defendant is viewed as a risk of nonappearance due to the following factors: contradictory information regarding the Defendant's means of support 10 and current residence, outstanding felony and misdemeanor warrants, a history 11 12 of failing to appear, and a history of failing to comply with the terms of his 13 probation and court orders. 14 Furthermore, the Defendant is viewed as a danger to the community due to the (3) 15 nature of the instant offense and his criminal history. The Defendant did not contest detention. 16 **(4)** 17 (5) Based upon the foregoing information, it appears that there is no condition or 18 combination of conditions that would reasonably assure future Court 19 appearances and/or the safety of other persons or the community. 20 It is therefore ORDERED: 21 (1)The Defendant shall be detained pending trial and committed to the 2.2. 23 custody of the Attorney General for confinement in a correction facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving 24 25 sentences or being held in custody pending appeal; 26 (2) The Defendant shall be afforded reasonable opportunity for private

DETENTION ORDER PAGE -2-

consultation with counsel;

- (3) On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility in which the Defendant is confined shall deliver the Defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding; and
- (4) The clerk shall direct copies of this order to counsel for the United States, to counsel for the Defendant, to the United States Marshal, and to the United States Pretrial Services Officer.

DATED this 25th day of August, 2005.

Monica J. BENTON

United States Magistrate Judge